



## Bird Species Vulnerable to Range Loss from Climate Change by 2050

Three EnviroAtlas national maps display the number of climate-endangered bird species that are likely to be present in any single location within a 12-digit hydrologic unit ([HUC](#)) in the conterminous United States. Climate-endangered bird species are vulnerable to a loss of 50% or more of their current range by 2050 from climate change. These data are based on habitat models not wildlife counts.

### Why are climate-endangered bird species important?

The metric estimating climate-endangered bird species richness is based on work by the National Audubon Society that identified 121 species projected to lose more than 50 percent of their current range by 2050 if global warming continues at its current pace.<sup>1</sup> Species richness is one measure of [biodiversity](#) that can represent the relative conservation value of a particular area. Many scientists believe that biodiversity, because it represents all forms of life on earth, provides or supports the core benefits that humans derive from their environment to sustain human society, economy, health, and well-being. Managing for biodiversity is one way to balance competing demands for ecosystem services.<sup>2</sup>

Each species plays an important role within its [ecosystem](#), and ecosystems are highly interconnected. Each species depends on others to provide food, habitat, decomposition, pollination, or control of pest species. The removal of even one species from an ecosystem can create a [trophic cascade](#) that can affect the entire [food chain](#).

Bird species are important to humans; they are the focus of many non-profit organizations and [citizen science](#) efforts such as the Breeding Bird Survey and Christmas Bird Count. Ecologically, birds participate in the food chain as predators, herbivores, and insect, nectar, and carrion feeders. Birds help to control insect populations and they also perform other important roles as pollinators and seed dispersers. In conservation biology, they serve as sensitive indicators of landscape disturbance and habitat condition. For example, in the southeastern U.S., forest canopy nesters and foragers, such as pine warbler, Acadian flycatcher, and red-eyed vireo, decline with forest fragmentation and conversion while generalist, non-native species increase with similar disturbances.<sup>3</sup>



Bird-watching contributes to human physical, cultural, and economic well-being. It is estimated that 48 million birdwatchers in the U.S. contributed \$36 billion to the economy from birdwatching in 2006.<sup>4</sup> The total economic benefit of wildlife watching in 2015 was \$166 billion. Bird diversity can bring tourist dollars to a community and enjoyment to residents.

### How can I use this information?

Three EnviroAtlas maps illustrate climate-endangered bird species richness for each 12-digit HUC in the conterminous U.S.—Mean, Maximum, and Normalized Index of Biodiversity (NIB) for Bird Species Vulnerable to Range Loss from Climate Change by 2050.<sup>5</sup> Used together or independently, these maps can help identify areas of low or high potential bird species richness to inform decisions about resource restoration, use, and conservation. Mean richness is a commonly used and understood value for comparison. NIB provides an index to compare a metric with other metrics across multiple project scales simultaneously. Maximum richness identifies habitats that are species rich but may not occupy large areas (e.g. linear riparian areas).

These maps can be used in conjunction with other maps in EnviroAtlas such as ecoregions, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) protected areas database ([PAD-US](#)), or the (USGS) Gap Analysis Project ([GAP](#)) ecological systems to identify areas with high ecological or recreational value for conservation, recreation, or restoration planning. After learning the bird species richness values for a particular HUC,

users can investigate an area more intensively by using individual species models available from the GAP project.

## How were the data for these maps created?

The USGS GAP project maps the distribution of natural vegetation communities and potential habitat for individual terrestrial vertebrate species. These models use environmental variables (e.g., land cover, elevation, and distance to water) to predict habitat for each species. GAP modeled habitat for bird species that reside, breed, or use the habitat within the conterminous U.S. for a significant portion of their life history. This map is based on a subset of GAP birds that the National Audubon Society identified as climate-endangered or projected to lose more than 50 percent of their current range by 2050 if global warming continues at its current pace. Of 621 bird species modeled by GAP, 121 were identified as climate-endangered species. Predicted habitat for the 121 individual climate-endangered bird species was combined to calculate bird species richness by pixel. The mean and maximum climate-endangered bird species in each 30-meter pixel were calculated for each 12-digit HUC. The mean species richness value by HUC was divided by the maximum mean value within all HUCs to calculate the NIB.

## What are the limitations of these data?

EnviroAtlas uses the best data available, but there are still limitations associated with these data. These data, based on models and large national geospatial databases of predicted habitat, are estimations of reality that may overestimate actual bird species presence. Modeled data are intended to complement rather than replace monitoring data. Habitat models do not predict the actual occurrence of species, but rather their potential occurrence based on their known associations with certain habitat types. Habitat is only one factor that determines the actual presence of a species. Other factors include habitat quality, predators, prey, competing

species, and fine scale habitat features. Other essential species information in addition to species richness includes the types of species and their [functional groups](#), whether they are rare or common, native or non-native, tolerant or intolerant of disturbance.

## How can I access these data?

EnviroAtlas data can be viewed in the interactive map, accessed through web services, or downloaded. Individual 30-meter pixel data may be downloaded from the [New Mexico State University Center for Applied Spatial Ecology](#).

## Where can I get more information?

A selection of resources related to birds and biodiversity is listed below. Information on the models and data used in the USGS Core Science Analytics, Synthesis & Library's [GAP](#) project is available on their website. For additional information on how the data were created, access the [metadata](#) for the data layer from the drop down menu on the interactive map table of contents and click again on metadata at the bottom of the metadata summary page for more details. To ask specific questions about this data layer, please contact the [EnviroAtlas Team](#).

## Acknowledgments

The data for this metric were created through a collaborative effort between the EPA and USGS GAP. GAP habitat models were created by New Mexico State University, North Carolina State University, and Boise State University personnel. Kenneth Boykin and graduate students from New Mexico State University generated the biodiversity metrics. The fact sheet was written by Kenneth Boykin (New Mexico State University), William Kepner, Anne Neale, and Jessica Daniel (EPA), Sandra Bryce (Innovate!, Inc.), and Megan Culler (EPA Student Services Contractor).

## Selected Publications

1. National Audubon Society. 2015. [Audubon's birds and climate change report](#). National Audubon Society, New York. Contributors: G. Langham, J. Schuetz, C. Soykan, C. Wilsey, T. Auer, G. LeBaron, C. Sanchez, and T. Distler. Version 1.3.
2. Boykin, K.G., W.G. Kepner, D.F. Bradford, R.K. Guy, D.A. Kopp, A. Leimer, E. Samson, F. East, A. Neale, and K. Gergely. 2013. [A national approach for mapping and quantifying habitat-based biodiversity metrics across multiple spatial scales](#). *Ecological Indicators* 33:139–147.
3. Canterbury, G.E., T.E. Martin, D.R. Petit, L.J. Petit, and D.F. Bradford. 2000. [Bird communities and habitat as ecological indicators of forest condition in regional monitoring](#). *Conservation Biology* 14: 544–558.
4. Carver, E. 2009. [Birding in the United States: A demographic and economic analysis](#). Addendum to the 2006 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting and Wildlife-Associated Recreation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arlington, Virginia.
5. Kepner, W.G., K.G. Boykin, D.F. Bradford, A.C. Neale, A.K. Leimer, and K.J. Gergely. 2013. [Biodiversity metrics fact sheet](#), EPA/600/F-11/006, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Pearce, D., and D. Moran. 1994. *The economic value of biodiversity*. International Union for Conservation of Nature, Taylor and Francis, New York, New York. 104 p.